Effects of Charge Density and Thermal History on the Morphologies of Spontaneously Formed Unilamellar Vesicles
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A phospholipid mixture consisting of dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC), dihexanoyl phosphatidylcholine (DHPC), and the negatively charged dimyristoyl phosphatidylglycerol (DMPG) lipid is found to spontaneously form uniform-size unilamellar vesicles (ULVs). Small angle neutron scattering (SANS) is used to examine ULV size as a function of net charge, dilution, and thermal history. It shows that ULVs only exist within a narrow window of charge densities, where larger size ULVs can be obtained at a lower charge density through slow temperature annealing. There is also a 6-fold change in the size of low polydispersity ULVs, confirming a previously proposed model for spontaneously forming ULVs [Nieh, M.-P. et al. Langmuir 2005, 21, 6656]. Finally, the stability of these ULVs was confirmed through a series of high temperature dilution experiments, further making the case that these nanoparticles can be used as carriers for drugs and contrast imaging agents.

Introduction

Progress in drug therapy entails much more than the discovery of new pharmaceuticals. For example, the targeted and controlled release of a drug is a key aspect of drug delivery technology. One strategy for controlled release involves encapsulating pharmaceuticals using biocompatible, unilamellar vesicles (ULVs) whose size can be manipulated. Current commercial methods have been developed for producing them. Conventional methods for the production of small ULVs include sonication and multistage extrusion, while solvent dispersion methods, such as reverse phase evaporation and double emulsion, are used to produce larger size ULVs. Some drawbacks associated with these techniques are: (a) the degradation and modification of phospholipids (i.e., oxidation, hydrolysis, deactivation, etc.); (b) difficulties in producing monodisperse size ULVs; and (c) low throughput. Spontaneous forming ULVs can be obtained through detergent removal techniques (i.e., dilution, dialysis, gel exclusion chromatography, adsorption onto polymers, temperature changes, or biochemical reactions) using micellar solutions, however, their biocompatibility may be compromised by detergent contamination, and controlling their size is not straightforward.

The ULVs in question are composed exclusively of phospholipids [i.e., dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC), dimyristoyl phosphatidylglycerol (DMPG), and dihexanoyl phosphatidylcholine (DHPC)] in aqueous solution, which undergo a micelle-to-vesicle transition above a critical temperature (Tc).

This system has been reported to produce stable and reasonably monodisperse ULVs with radii ranging from between 10 and 40 nm. Previously, mechanisms for their spontaneous formation have been proposed. Experimental data of the current lipid mixture have indicated that ULVs are thermodynamically stable, despite the fact that their final size depends on the path of formation. Several kinetic studies have shown that bilayered micelles (also known as “bicelles”) are a precursor morphology to ULVs. In some cases, ULVs are formed immediately upon mixing of the components, while in the current system, ULV formation takes place at a temperature T > Tc, whereupon bicelles begin to coalesce. This system allows one to control the rate of bicelle coalescence, thus enabling us to better understand how these ULVs are formed. Moreover, unlike most of the other spontaneously formed ULV systems whose size is concentration (C) dependent, DMPC/DMPG/DHPC ULVs at C ≤ 0.33 wt % are stable over a period of up to 4 months at 4 °C and a few weeks at 45 °C. These ULVs also possess two temperature-dependent release mechanisms, making them suitable candidates for drug delivery purposes.

It is known that net charge density is a key parameter in determining the morphology of DMPC/DMPG/DHPC mixtures. One method of introducing surface charge onto bilayers is by doping with DMPG. DMPG has the same hydrocarbon chains as those of DMPC, and is known to stabilize bicelles through electrostatic repulsion, which inhibits bicelles from fusing, as well as increasing membrane rigidity. A previous report showed a non DMPG doped system ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0) formed MLVs due to insufficient Coulombic repulsion between bilayers, while a highly doped system ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 6.7%) formed bicelles which were unable to fuse and form ULVs at T > Tc.

The present study focuses on the kinetics of aggregate structures that evolve from the bicelle-to-ULV transition under different temperature protocols as determined by small angle neutron scattering (SANS). The influence of charge density with regards to ULV size and polydispersity are also investigated. Finally, the stability of monodisperse ULVs after high temper-
Incoherent scattering was obtained from the high intensity profile, which was placed on an absolute scale (cross cell scattering (also corrected for empty cell transmission). The transmission, ambient background (blocked beam) and empty cell scattering were subtracted from the data. These procedures were conducted by Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL) and used without further purification. Three different sample solutions were prepared by codissolving the lipids at a fixed molar ratio of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 3.3 and various molar ratios of [DMPG]/[DMPC] (i.e., 0.3, 0.8, and 1.5%) in deuterium oxide (99.9% D2O, Chalk River Laboratories, Chalk River, Canada). The initial lipid concentration (Cip) for all samples was 10 wt%, and each sample was vortexed and temperature cycled between 4 and 50 °C. After doing this, samples were equilibrated at T = 4 °C for 24 h. All samples were gradually diluted in a stepwise fashion (i.e., Cip = 5 and 2 wt %) to a final Cip of either 0.3 or 0.1 wt % using 4 °C D2O. Some 0.3 wt % samples, which included all [DMPG]/[DMPC] ratios, were slowly annealed at T = 20, 25, 30, and 50 °C for approximately 2 h per temperature. A second set of 0.3 and 0.1 wt % samples (diluted from 4 °C 0.3 wt % mixtures) were T-jumped from 4 to 50 °C and then kept at 50 °C for more than 12 h. Finally, some 0.1 wt % samples were prepared by diluting 0.3 wt % ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8 and 1.5%) at 50 °C. These samples were used to compare to the same wt % samples that were diluted at 4 °C and then T-jumped to 50 °C.

SANS. SANS experiments were conducted at the 30 m NG3-SANS located at NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) Center for Neutron Research (NCNR, Gaithersburg, MD). 6 Å wavelength (λ) neutrons with a ∆λ/λ of 11% were used. Sample-to-detector distances (SDDs) of 1, 5, and 13 m were used, with a detector offset of 20 cm in the case of SDD = 1 m. This resulted in a range of total scattering vector (q) of 0.003 Å−1 < q < 0.35 Å−1 [q = 4πλ sin(θ/2), where θ is the scattering angle]. Raw data were corrected for sample transmission, ambient background (blocked beam) and empty cell scattering (also corrected for empty cell transmission). The data were then circularly averaged to yield a 1-D scattering intensity profile, which was placed on an absolute scale (cross section per unit volume) using the incident neutron beam flux. Incoherent scattering was obtained from the high q region and subtracted from the data. These procedures were conducted using a data reduction program (in IGOR-Pro) developed by NCNR.

**Figure 1.** (A) Bilayered micelles (bicelles) described by the simplified core shell disk model possessing a hydrophobic core of radius R and thickness L, and a hydrophilic shell of thickness t. (B) ULVs are described by the polydisperse spherical shell model of inner radius Rc, an outer radius Ro, and a polydispersity p.

**Methodology**

**Sample Preparation.** 1,2-Dimyristoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DMPC), 1,2-dimyristoyl-phosphatidylglycerol (DMPG), and 1,2-dihexanoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DHPC) were purchased from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL) and used without further purification. Some 0.3 wt % samples, which included all [DMPG]/[DMPC] ratios, were slowly annealed at T = 20, 25, 30, and 50 °C for approximately 2 h per temperature. A second set of 0.3 and 0.1 wt % samples (diluted from 4 °C 0.3 wt % mixtures) were T-jumped from 4 to 50 °C and then kept at 50 °C for more than 12 h. Finally, some 0.1 wt % samples were prepared by diluting 0.3 wt % ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8 and 1.5%) at 50 °C. These samples were used to compare to the same wt % samples that were diluted at 4 °C and then T-jumped to 50 °C.

**Data Analysis.** Most of the SANS data presented here can be fit using either a core shell disk model (in the case of bicelles) or a polydisperse spherical single shell model (in the case of ULVs).

**Core Shell Disk Model.** This model is used to describe bilayered disks composed of phospholipids in solution, where the hydrophilic heads populate the disk’s surface forming a shell of uniform thickness t. The disk’s core is made up of the phospholipid’s hydrophobic fatty acid chains and has a radius R and a thickness L (Figure 1A). The core—shell disk model assumes monodisperse bicelles thus reducing the number of fitting parameters, while at the same time adequately describing the SANS data. In the case of dilute solutions, the form factor Pdisk(q) is proportional to the scattering function I(q) which can be written as \( I(q) = \phi_{lipid} P_{disk}(q) \), where \( \phi_{lipid} \) represents the lipid volume fraction. The neutron scattering length densities (SLDs) of the solvent, the disk’s core and disk’s shell are represented by \( \rho_{solvent} \), \( \rho_{hydrophobic} \), and \( \rho_{hydrophilic} \), respectively, and relate to each other as follows:23,24

\[
I(q) = \phi_{lipid} P_{disk}(q) = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \int_{F}^{F} f(q, \alpha) \sin \alpha \sin \theta \, d\alpha \, d\theta
\]

where

\[
f(q, \alpha) = 2(\rho_{hydrophobic} - \rho_{hydrophilic}) \times V_{hydrophobic} \times \sin\left(\frac{L}{2} \cos \alpha\right) \times \sin\left(\frac{L}{2} \cos \alpha\right)
\]

\[
J_1(qR \sin \alpha) \left(\frac{qR \sin \alpha}{qR \sin \alpha}\right) + 2(\rho_{hydrophilic} - \rho_{solvent}) V_{hydrophilic} \times \sin\left(\frac{L}{2} + i \cos \alpha\right) \times \sin\left(\frac{L}{2} + i \cos \alpha\right)
\]

\[
J_1(q(R + t) \sin \alpha) \left(\frac{q(R + t) \sin \alpha}{q(R + t) \sin \alpha}\right)
\]

where \( J_1(x) \) is the first-order Bessel function; \( \alpha \) is defined as the angle between the cylinder axis and the scattering vector, q. Integrating over \( \alpha \) averages the form factor over all possible disk orientations. \( V_{hydrophobic} = \pi R^2 L \) and \( V_{hydrophilic} = \pi(R + t)(L + 2t) \) are the volume of the hydrophobic core and hydrophilic shell, respectively. In fitting the data, SLDs were constrained to the calculated \( \rho_{solvent} (6.38 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Å}^2) \) while values for \( \rho_{hydrophobic} (3.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Å}^2) \) and \( \rho_{core} (-4.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ Å}^2) \) were fixed at values obtained from literature.25,26
Polydisperse Spherical Shell Model. For ULVs shown in Figure 1B, the scattering pattern is described using a polydisperse spherical shell model. A uniform SLD, $\rho_{\text{lipid}} \times 10^{-7}$ Å$^{-2}$, was applied to the bilayer shell to reduce the number of fitting parameters, as the SLD of D$_2$O, $\rho_{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$, is much greater than $\rho_{\text{lipid}}$. The Schulz distribution function, $f(r)$, was used to describe the size distribution of ULVs, with polydispersity $p$ defined as $\sigma(R_o)$, where $\sigma^2$ is the variance of $R_o$ and $\langle R_o \rangle$ is the average $R_o$ (Hayter, 1985). The form factor, $P_{\text{vesicle}}(q)$, can be expressed as

$$P_{\text{vesicle}}(q) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{V_{\text{vesicle}}} f(r) A_0(q,r) dr$$

(2)

where $\Gamma(1/p^2)$ is the gamma function used to normalize the distribution function, $\langle R_o \rangle$ is the average inner radius of the vesicle (= $R_o$ - bilayer thickness, $t_{\text{bilayer}}$), and $V_{\text{vesicle}}$ is the total ULV volume [i.e., $(4\pi/3)(r + t)$] at the inner radius $r$. The fitting parameters therefore include $\langle R_o \rangle$, $p$, and $t_{\text{bilayer}}$ [eq 2]. Both fitting models were developed in IGOR-Pro by NCNR.

Cryogenic Transmission Electron Microscopy (Cryo-TEM). Cryo-TEM was used to image the ULVs. Sample vitrification was performed using an environmentally controlled FEI Vitrobot Mark IV (FEI Worldwide Corp., Oregon) vitrification system. The sample (2 μL) was placed onto the TEM grid and the excess solution was removed from the grid by blotting with a filter paper. The sample was then vitrified by plunging the grid into liquid ethane and immediately transferred on to a cold sample stage ($T = -170 \, ^\circ\text{C}$) suitable for the FEI Tecnai G2 transmission electron microscope (FEI Worldwide Corp., Oregon), which operates at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV in the filtered bright field image mode.

Results

Effect of Charge Density. The effect of charge density on ULV formation was examined by studying ULV size at a number of [DMPG]/[DMPC] ratios (i.e., 0.3, 0.8, and 1.5%) and $C_{ip} = 0.3$ wt %. Figure 2 shows SANS data of the three samples at $T = 50 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ that were slowly annealed from 4 °C. A peak ($q \sim 0.1 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$) associated with the presence of MLVs is observed in the sample with the lowest charge density ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.3), consistent with a previous report on zwitterionic mixtures. For the two other charge density samples, SANS data were best-fit with the polydisperse spherical shell model (solid curves). The best-fit values for $\langle R_o \rangle$ are 770 ± 70 Å and 134 ± 8 Å in the case of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8 and 1.5%, respectively, indicating that increased charge density yields smaller ULVs (by a factor of ∼6). The fact that cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM; Figure 3) reveals ULVs with a similar average size at a corresponding condition further confirms the validity of the model used to fit the SANS data. To the best of our knowledge this is the most significant effect on ULV size reported thus far regarding this system. In addition, charge density also seems to affect the size distribution of ULVs. The relative polydispersities of both systems are comparable to each other, while the absolute deviation, $\sigma$, in the case of the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8 wt % sample is three times larger than that of the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% sample.

To study the transition from bicelles to ULVs, SANS experiments were conducted as a function of temperature (i.e., 20, 25, 30, and 50 °C). For the lowest charge [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.3% system and $C_{ip} = 0.3$ wt %, the solution was visibly opaque indicating the presence of MLVs; also confirmed by the presence of an MLV peak that was present at all temperatures (data not shown). The situation was different, however, in the case of the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8% and $C_{ip} = 0.3$ wt % sample, where ULVs formed at low temperature and remained unaltered throughout the range of temperatures studied (data not shown). In the case of the highest charge density sample (i.e., [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% and $C_{ip} = 0.3$ wt %), a clear transition from bicelles to ULVs is observed between 25 and 30 °C (Figure 4). The best-fit structural parameters obtained from the core shell disk (20 and 25 °C) and polydisperse spherical shell models (30 and 50 °C) are summarized in Table 1. $R$ is found to increase from 266 ± 10 Å to 349 ± 15 Å, as $T$ is increased from 20 to 25 °C, in agreement with the notion that, prior to forming ULVs bicelles coalesce as temperature is increased. Between 25 and 30 °C a phase transition takes place, as indicated by the oscillation at $q \sim 0.025 \, \text{Å}^{-1}$. Despite this, from the SANS data we cannot rule out the coexistence of bicelles and ULVs. $\langle R_o \rangle$ of ULV decreases slightly as temperature is increased from 30 to 50 °C, indicating that the ULV
Figure 4. SANS data and best-fits (solid lines) to the data for the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% and C_p = 0.3 wt % sample at various temperatures: T = 20 (diamonds), 25 (squares), 30 (triangles), and 50 °C (circles). The bicelle-to-ULV phase transition takes place between 25 and 30 °C. Core–shell disk (bicelle) and polydisperse spherical shell (ULV) models were used to fit the data. The dotted lines indicate the two slopes present in the scattering data (25 °C). Their intersection at q_{\text{intersect}} \sim 0.0045 Å^{-1} reveals the largest dimension of the aggregates, that is, the diameter of the bicelles where \( \pi q_{\text{intersect}} \sim 2(\langle R \rangle + t) \).

Figure 5. Schulz distribution function for the average inner radius (solid line) and total surface area (dashed line) of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% (C_p = 0.3%) ULVs at T = 30 °C.

of this difference. At this point, we speculate that 25 °C bicelles may contain defects (i.e., perforations), an artifact of bicelle coalescence. However, it should be stressed that bicelle radius is a robust measure as it is model independent (location where the lines that define the two slopes in the data set intersect; e.g., \( q_{\text{intersect}} \sim 0.0045 \) Å\(^{-1}\) in the case of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5%; Figure 4). The model simply is used to determine the morphology and its precise dimensions through the fitting of the data over the entire q range.

Effect of Thermal Path on the Size of ULVs. ULV size distribution was examined as the lipid mixtures underwent two very different thermal path histories, namely, a T-jump and slow annealing. Comparison of the SANS data and their corresponding best-fits using the polydisperse spherical shell model is presented in Figure 6. Interestingly, the resultant ULV radii in the case of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8% sample are very different, that is, 172 ± 10 and 770 ± 70 Å (Table 2) in the case of T-jump and slow temperature annealing processes, respectively. The size variation of ULVs with higher charge density ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5%) follows the same trend but is not affected to the same extent, that is, \( \langle R \rangle \) is 89 ± 2 Å for T-jump and 134 ± 8 Å for slow temperature annealing (Table 2). Interestingly, the best-fit volume fractions in both T-jump samples seem to be much less than the prepared lipid concentrations, leading to other types of large aggregates coexisting with ULVs, and which do not contribute to the scattering intensity over the q range examined. Moreover, the shell thickness of the T-jump [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8% sample is always best-fit to the lower constraint value of \( \langle t \rangle \), which is significantly different from the value found in the literature,\(^{30}\) indicating that the spherical shell model is inadequate in describing the entire q range data. However, it should be pointed out that the size of ULVs is mainly determined by the oscillation of the SANS data (\( q \sim 0.01 \) Å\(^{-1}\)), thus, the value for this morphological feature is robust.

Concentration Effect on Size and ULV Stability. ULV stability as a function of total lipid concentration was examined through dilution at high temperature (from C_p = 0.3 to 0.1 wt %). This dilution resulted in a concentration effect on the size of ULVs. The results are presented in Table 1, which shows the best-fit parameters for the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% and C_p = 0.3 wt % sample obtained using the core-shell cylinder model to fit the low temperature data (T = 20 and 25 °C) and the polydisperse spherical shell model to fit the high temperatures data (T = 30 and 50 °C).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T ) (°C)</th>
<th>( \langle R \rangle ) (Å)</th>
<th>( \langle t \rangle ) (Å)</th>
<th>( \langle L \rangle ) (Å)</th>
<th>( A_b ) (Å(^2))</th>
<th>( \langle R \rangle ) (Å)</th>
<th>( t_{\text{layer}} ) (Å)</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( A_v ) (Å(^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>266 ± 10.0</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.0</td>
<td>32.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>(5.3 ± 0.6) \times 10^5</td>
<td>134 ± 5.0</td>
<td>32.6 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(5.8 ± 0.5) \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>349 ± 15.0</td>
<td>9.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>30.0 ± 4.0</td>
<td>(8.7 ± 1.0) \times 10^5</td>
<td>134 ± 8.0</td>
<td>31.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>(5.8 ± 0.7) \times 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Structure is unaltered, but that a small amount of lipid (presumably DHPC) dissolves in the aqueous phase. The best-fit shell thicknesses all fall within an acceptable range (\( \sim 32 \) Å), but slightly smaller than the literature value of \( \sim 35 \) Å.\(^{30}\) Moreover, all the best-fit volume fractions are within 25% of the prepared lipid total concentration, indicating the best-fit models are adequate representations of the morphologies present.

Because almost all of the lipids have the same phosphatidylcholine headgroup, to a first approximation the total surface area of the aggregate morphology is assumed to be proportional to the number of lipids. Therefore, a bicelle’s surface area, \( A_b \), can be estimated (based on the midpoint of the hydrophilic layer) using the best-fit parameters as follows:

\[
A_b = 2\pi(\langle R \rangle + t/2)^2 + 2\pi(\langle R \rangle + t/2)(L + t)
\]  

(3)

A 60% increase in the average surface area of individual bicelles (from \( 5.3 \times 10^8 \) Å\(^2\) to \( 8.7 \times 10^8 \) Å\(^2\)) with increasing temperature (from 20 to 25 °C) confirms the notion that bicelles grow as a result of increased line tension caused by the loss of DHPC molecules that occupy the bicelle’s edge.\(^{24}\) In the case of ULVs, from the Schulz distribution function, \( f(R) \), the ULV surface area (both bilayers leaflets) can be determined (Figure 5). The average total ULV surface area, \( A_v \), is thus calculated through the integration of the distribution function (Figure 5). \( A_v \) at 30 °C is calculated to be \( 5.8 \times 10^8 \) Å\(^2\), which is larger than the \( A_b \) of bicelles at 20 °C, and is the result of bicelles coalescing. However, the calculated \( A_v \) at 30 °C ULVs is \( \sim 35\% \) less than the \( A_b \) of bicelles at 25 °C. Although this result can be partially explained by the loss of DHPC (\( \sim 23\% \) of the total lipid) from the bicelles into the aqueous phase, we cannot explain the bulk

Table 1: Best-Fit Structural Parameters for the [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% and C_p = 0.3 wt % Sample Obtained Using the Core Shell Cylinder Model to Fit the Low Temperature Data (T = 20 and 25 °C) and the Polydisperse Spherical Shell Model to Fit the High Temperatures Data (T = 30 and 50 °C)
bicelles into ULVs.15,16,31 Stage (1) is essential in the formation of uniform size bicelles; and (3) the folding of bicelles are thermodynamically stable, DMPG may play an important role in retaining the short-chain DHPC within the bicelles and thus, preventing them from growing.

Discussion

The three stages of ULV formation reported previously are: (1) the formation of uniform size bicelles; (2) coalescence of bicelles into large uniform size bicelles; and (3) the folding of bicelles into ULVs.15,16,31 Stage (1) is essential in the formation of uniform size ULVs, while stage (2) determines the final size and polydispersity of the ULVs (discussed in detail in this section).

Effect of Charge Density. Earlier studies examining the effect of charge on ULV formation have demonstrated that insufficient or excess charge densities can inhibit their formation and instead, result in the formation of MLVs (insufficient charge) or bicelles (excess charge).21 Here we focused on a narrower range of charge densities (i.e., [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.3, 0.8, and 1.5%) in order to gain a more detailed understanding of the system studied, as summarized in Figure 8.

Low Charge Density. The results of the weakly doped system ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.3 wt %) are similar to those of a neutral system ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0), where MLVs were observed.21 In the case where there is an insufficient amount of charge to cause bilayers to unbind, MLVs are the stable morphology.32

The present system forms an opaque liquid indicative of MLVs for all temperatures studied, a result confirmed by SANS. Intermediate Charge Density. At a moderate charge density ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8%), ULVs are observed at all temperatures indicating that ULVs can form even in the absence of liquid crystalline DMPC, which undergoes a melting transition temperature at around 23 °C. This result differs from what was previously reported in samples with higher charge densities16 and can be rationalized as follows: In the case of bicelles being a kinetically trapped morphology (i.e., thermodynamically unstable), collision frequency between bicelles is expected to increase as a function of decreasing charge density (i.e., less Coulombic repulsion). This results in larger size bicelles, which eventually form larger size ULVs. If on the other hand, the bicelles are thermodynamically stable, DMPG may play an important role in retaining the short-chain DHPC within the bicelles and thus, preventing them from growing.

Table 2: Structural Parameters Obtained from the Best-Fits to the Data for C_{lp} = 0.3 and 0.1 wt % Samples Diluted at High Temperature (HT) and Low Temperature (LT), Respectively

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8%</th>
<th>[DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( (R) ) (Å)</td>
<td>( \ell_{bilayer} ) (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{lp} = 0.3 ) wt % slow-T</td>
<td>770 ± 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{lp} = 0.3 ) wt % T-jump</td>
<td>172 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{lp} = 0.1 ) wt % HT</td>
<td>171 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{lp} = 0.1 ) wt % LT</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
High Charge Density. Increasing charge density ([DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5%) results in bicelles appearing at low temperature and ULVs at high temperature. This transition between bicelles and ULVs takes place somewhere between 25 and 30 °C, slightly greater than \( T_c \) for DMPC (23 °C). In fact, \( T_c \) has been reported to increase with increasing \( C_{lp} \) and charge density.11 The [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5% ULVs are smaller than those of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8% either because they experience less collisions (i.e., less opportunities to coalesce into larger bicelles) or they are more stable with higher content of DMPG.

Effect of Thermal Path. One of the most interesting findings of the present study is the dramatic difference in ULV size as a function of different thermal annealing (slow annealing vs T-jump, as shown in Figure 6). The slow temperature annealing process effectively prolongs the coalescing period (stage 2), thus increasing the probability of bicelle collisions, and eventually results in the formation of larger ULVs. The fact that this effect is not as significant in the case of the higher charge density sample (i.e., [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 1.5%, as shown in Table 2) is most likely the result of strong Coulombic repulsion. Nevertheless, an 80 Å increase in bicellar radius over 5 °C (i.e., from 20 to 25 °C) in approximately 2 h still supports the notion of bicelles growing through collisions with one another, even at higher charge densities. Interestingly, for the intermediate charge [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8% (0.3 wt %) sample, large ULVs are found at low temperatures, while the same sample experiencing a T-jump yields much smaller ULVs. This observation implies that for this system stage 3 takes place at low temperatures on the order of minutes compared to seconds for the T-jump and hours for SANS data collection.

Effect of \( C_{lp} \) and Stability of ULVs. The size invariance of the current spontaneously formed ULVs as a function of \( C_{lp} \) and thermal annealing protocol is a distinct advantage over other surfactant systems.10,11 where ULV size depends on \( C_{lp} \). In fact, both of these observations can be explained by the proposed mechanism of ULV formation.15,16 The size of low temperature bicelles has been reported to be weakly dependent on \( C_{lp} \).1,25 If we assume that the collision frequency of aggregates in the case of higher \( C_{lp} \) samples is greater than that of lower \( C_{lp} \) samples, it then follows that larger ULVs are expected to form in the case of high \( C_{lp} \) samples. The present SANS data only show small differences in ULV size between \( C_{lp} \) of 0.3 and 0.1 wt % samples, probably due to the already low frequency of bicelle coalescence in \( C_{lp} \) of 0.3 wt % samples. However, ULV size should not change if the system is diluted at or after stage 3, where ULVs are the stable morphology. The invariance of ULV size seen here for high and intermediate charge density samples undergoing high temperature dilution is consistent with the proposed mechanism, implying that ULVs are stable.

Conclusion

Spontaneously formed ULVs with low polydispersities \( (p < 0.3) \) are found in samples of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8 and 1.5%. An important observation is that the temperature-induced bicelle-to-ULV transition observed in 1.5% samples is one method, whereby low polydispersity ULVs are produced. In the case of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.8%, the existence of uniform size ULVs at low temperature implies that differences in solubility between DMPC and DHPC in water and, with each other, may not be the only factors needed for the formation of ULVs. From the present study it has become clear that the collision frequency of bicelles as a function of charge density also plays a significant role in ULV formation. A more detailed study of bicelle growth as a function of annealing time will be able to determine the collision rate. A minimum charge density has been shown to be necessary for the formation of ULVs in the case of [DMPG]/[DMPC] = 0.3% samples, which otherwise form MLVs, regardless of temperature. It is also shown that ULV size is strongly correlated with bicelle folding ("stage 3" as mentioned in the discussion), which is controlled either through the amount of charge or the period of time in which bicelles are permitted to coalesce into larger bicelles (stage 2). In fact, through the interplay of charge density and thermal protocol, ULV radius is found to vary by as much as a factor of 6, revealing the possibility for the formation of a range of ULV sizes, depending on their application. Finally, the observation that stable ULVs can be formed when samples are diluted at high temperature further verifies the insensitivity of ULVs to \( C_{lp} \). Further work, however, is necessary to better understand how to control, in a robust manner, the size of these nanoparticles to extend their possible applications. Nevertheless, the current method for creating self-assembled ULVs may be useful when it comes to producing these structures in industrial relevant quantities, which can then be used to great advantage in pharmaceutical applications.
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